

June 22, 2005

Attention: Judge Farnan &  
 Chief Judge Sue L Robinson  
 United States District Court  
 For The District Of Delaware  
 Boggs Building  
 844 North King Street  
 Lockbox 18  
 Wilmington, DE 19801  
 (302) 573-6170  
 Civil Actions Numbers: 04-0419(JJF) &  
 04-0703(JJF)



Attention: Judge Farnan &  
 The Senior Authorizing Judge Of The

This message is being sent to your attention not on behalf of myself, but on behalf of the Emerald Ridge Service Corporation, in an attempt to protect the stockholders rights, where cases are pending before this court.

As it stands since the courts have not ruled upon the issues within it the parties who illegally took over the Corporation have taken it upon themselves to call another illegal meeting, with Edward Kafader coming before the Corporation once again, improperly informing them. It is my contention and other members of the corporation that they believe they can get away with these acts because the courts have not protected them this far, with either an injunction until after court proceedings or some other type of protection. Mr. Donald Gouge has now joined in on the deceit brought against the Corporation informing them that the lawsuits are against them, not on behalf of them, and leading them to believe that Edward Kafader is doing what is best for them, while clearly outlining that he is State Farm's attorney. These parties are illegally accepting funds from the illegal board without the Corporation knowing that their actions are not authorized. One of the courts should clearly make a decision on the running of the Corporation and by whom, prior to the Corporation going into complete disarray. The lawyers are continually causing decisiveness amongst the corporate members in an attempt to continue being paid by persons not authorized to pay them.

Now on Wednesday, June 29, 2005 these parties are going before the Corporation in an attempt to strip them of all of their voting rights, with Edward Kafader advising them. This is not fair to the Corporation, or within the boundaries of the law.

Listed are some of the changes these unauthorized persons are trying to convince the Corporation is in their best interest. They are changing the ARC, giving the Board complete autonomy over the ARC. They are trying to convince the members to change the ARC guidelines requiring that the ARC shall consist of 3 or 5 members and only persons solicited and appointed by the Board, immediately after the Annual meeting. It further states that a single member of the board will be appointed by the President to serve on the ARC and responsible for reporting back to the board. They are further stating that members appointed by them may serve unlimited terms. Now with them having sent out election ballots asking persons to choose a candidate and fill in their name if they want to be considered would not be a valid election, because the write in candidate would not have been part of the initial election. The ballots would come back in with votes and the individual placing their name on the ballot, which would not be considered in the election. These people are changing all kind of laws.

On the Bylaws they have a section stating: "President Pro Tem, which states: In the absence or disability of the President and the Vice President, the Board may appoint from their own number a President pro term."

They are now stating to incorporate to the Bylaws "A majority of the members exercising their votes either in person or by proxy shall constitute a quorum". Which gives them the right to run the corporation as they please. (This means that if there are three persons at the meetings and only consisting of them that they can make all votes.

They are stating that, "the Board Of Directors shall determine and recommend the amount of the annual assessment against each lot for approval by majority vote of members voting either by person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting per the Maintenance Declaration." (In other words if 5 people show up and there is a vote they can execute on the vote. You guys are in trouble.)

They are now also adding under assessments that they may invoke a special assessment against the corporation members for ..... lawful purposes.... and taking out that they need 2/3 of a vote. (They are changing that to a majority vote of the members voting in person or proxy.) This would allow them to illegally use corporation's monies as they are now doing and charge the Corporation members for such.

Because of the actions of these persons actions so far house sales in the community are at the highest level ever, after speaking with people regarding why they are moving, results mainly around how out of control these persons have gotten. Some persons who have come to look @ homes in the community cancelled appointments stating that the community is unappealing. This is mainly because we don't have a legal person enforcing the Deed Restrictions and the maintenance of the community.

If these persons try carrying out such changes prior to a trial (which there will be one), whether in State Court or the US Courts I will prosecute them for such on behalf of the Corporation, because even if I'm not here this is not right. This is wrong and there needs to be someone overseeing this Corporation so that it is not continued to be run by members not stockholders, such as Edward Kafader and Donald Gouge for personal gain. As I stated I am quite versed in the law considering that my ex-mother-in-laws husband is Clifford Brown, a Senior US District Court Judge in Pennsylvania, my ex-brother-in-law sits on the Court Of Common Pleas for Pennsylvania, my ex-father-in-law is a retired Senator/Attorney and my other brother-in-law who graduated from Yale law school is in private practice in New York. So based on my experience with these persons and advice sought I know this is not right and I am only hoping that this court will save the corporation and @ least issue an Order that these parties cannot even try to change legal documents until a court rules on their validity. The Delaware Supreme Court has already ruled and confirmed that at all times relevant through these proceedings I (myself) was a validly elected Director, and none of the other persons have been confirmed or given a letter to the Corporation that they will pay back all the legal fees paid out on their behalf, or at their request, if determined they were never Directors to be performing the tasks they are so doing, as the Bylaws require.

I was informed that I should send the letter to the courts, however I will have an attorney who may either be an acting judge or just an attorney present at this Wednesday meeting to validate the deceit of these individuals and how far they will go and perhaps you can have someone there to ensure that the Corporations interests are protected if you do not issue an injunction.

Hopefully, the courts will at least protect the Corporation and not allow this to continue. This is making the Corporation look bad, while Donald Gouge and Edward Kafader does not have to live here and don't care about the members of the Corporation, as long as they are being paid illegally. Not everyone is financially able to move. Since these persons are not properly informing the Corporation why come before the Corporation? State Farm is the only benefactor in these proceedings they are in a win, win situation as pointed out by my counsel in the US Courts. Should the US Court Of Appeals rule on the side of law these persons will be determined not to be indemnified and not validly elected board members, at which time they will have to pay State Farm back and the Corporation as outlined in those proceedings. However, with their being in control of the books what are the chances this will happen? They are already trying to get the Corporation members to allow them to assess costs against them for legal proceedings as outlined in the attached. Kafader, is only protecting State Farm's interest, rightfully so, and Don Gouge is protecting his friend instead of the Corporation. Whether, or not I'm still a homeowner or not, I will not allow this type of deceit to be brought against innocent individuals, although they do not know the truth.

Please treat this as a Motion on behalf of the Corporation, a Corporation in which I am leaving and still deserve the protection of the courts and their rights, since they don't know them. Another pleading if they try invoking these changes will only hurt the Corporation, Mr. Kafader and Mr. Gouge, as well as State Farm will prosper at innocent persons expenses.

Sincerely,



Cathy D. Brooks-McCollum  
115 Emerald Ridge Drive  
Bear, DE 19701

cc: Ferry, Joseph & Pearce  
Jon Parshall  
Stephen Casarino

Attention: Judge Farnan &  
Chief Judge Sue L. Robinson

United States District Court  
For the District of Delaware  
Boys Building  
844 North King Street  
Lockbox 18

1500 Wilmington, DE 19801-1500

U.S. MAIL

